



PRODUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF WINE FROM GINGER, HONEY AND SUGAR BLENDS

Mukesh R. Jangra¹, Raj Kumar², Sumit Jangra³, Akanksha Jain⁴ and KS Nehra¹

¹Department of Biotechnology, Government College, Hisar- 125 001

²Department of Botany, Government College, Hisar- 125 001

³Department of MBBB, CCSHAU, Hisar -125004 (Haryana), INDIA

⁴Centre of Food Science and Technology, CCSHAU, Hisar -125004 (Haryana), INDIA

Corresponding author: ksnehra@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In present investigation, ginger (*Zingiber officinale*), sugar and honey were used in the preparation of wines using baker's yeast strain *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Ginger extract was mixed with sugar, sugar: honey and honey only at different concentration to obtain fourteen wine versions. Each version was inoculated and fermented for 11 days. After fermentation the wine was clarified. Parameters like acidity, pH, alcohol and sugar content of the wines were checked every 24 hr. Most enological and physiological parameters were stable across the wine versions. Sensory and non sensory evaluation was done on all wine versions. Conclusively, wine version GH4 has maximum ethanol percentage (13.2%) where only honey was used as a source of fermentable sugars in contrast to sugar: honey and only sugar recipes with their alcohol content being 12.86 % and 11.76%, respectively. Overall acceptability of GH5, GH8 and BS3 was found to be maximum. All wine versions were acidic, having low to high alcohol content and sweet except wine version BS5. Calories of wine depend upon the concentration of sugar and honey added into the mashes.

KEYWORDS: Alcohol, fermentation, ginger, honey, wine, *Zingiber officinale*.

INTRODUCTION

Wine is one of the functional fermented foods that have many health benefits. Commercially, wine is produced by yeast fermentation which involves the conversion of sugar to alcohol. Wine can act as a health supplement attributed to polyphenols, flavonoids, minerals *etc.* extracted from fruits and vegetables during the process of fermentation. Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) is commonly called 'Ale' or 'Adrak' which is an important commercially grown crop for its aromatic rhizomes which are used as a spice, condiment and as a medicine. Ginger has many biological and medicinal properties. It is used in pharmacology because of low toxicity. Ginger is antitumor, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti apoptotic, cytotoxic, antiproliferative and antiplatelet activities (Sekiwa *et al.*, 2000; Wei, *et al.*, 2005; Young *et al.*, 2005; Shukla and Singh, 2007). Ginger rhizome contains phenolic ketones like gingerols as well as the shogaols. The main pungent bioactive substances extracted from the rhizomes were 6 Gingerol (Most pungent compounds), 8 Gingerol and 10 Gingerol (Leverington, 1975; Connell and Sutherland, 1969; Govindarajan, 1982). Ginger is produced by many countries. China and India are considered to be the top countries for its production (Bartley and Jacobs, 2000). Ginger is cultivated in almost all the tropical and subtropical parts of India, especially in Maharashtra, Kerala, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, Bihar, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh *etc.* The total annual production in India is estimated about 33,780 tones. Ginger is grown in an area from almost the sea level up to an altitude of 1,500 - 1800 meters. It grows well on a

variety of soils. It needs well-distributed rainfall or irrigation and adequate drainage facilities. Ginger after growing for 6-8 months can be harvested any time or even it may be kept as it is as a ratoon crop for the next year and harvested in second season to get more yield. The ginger is becoming major cash crop now a day for farmers. But in humid and heavy rain season it gets decayed due to moist environment in short period of time. Actually Indian farmers are not able to avail proper transportation facility for ginger. So Indian farmers are not getting good profit by ginger production and it's very hard to achieve the returns of their investment. So, if ginger is used for zinger wine production then farmer will get good market and profit from the ginger crop. Hopefully, it will help to change their socioeconomic status. Ginger has many health benefits, so the proposed work will be focused on the preparation of good quality of wine from ginger, honey and sugar blend by using baker's yeast. Honey was used in the ginger wine due to many reasons. According to National Honey Board, Honey contains sugars like fructose (38.2%), glucose (31%) and water (17.1%) which make it suitable substrate for fermentation. Mead is the honey wine prepared by different fermentation technology (Kraus, 2012). In the beverage industry, honey is used as a sweetener, probiotic, raw material, clarifying agent, antioxidant, flavor enhancer due to acidic nature (pH 3.9), antimicrobial activity and most importantly attract consumers (Mundo *et al.*, 2004). In many beverages, off-flavors can be masked with the use of honey (Isabel *et al.*, 2012). The compounds found in some honeys are the same compounds that have been proven to provide antioxidant

and anti-inflammatory benefits in both in-vitro and in-vivo studies (Josè *et al.*, 2013). So, after evaluating the medicinal properties and other genuine problems of farmers, ginger and honey blend was used for wine production with the following objectives:

1. To determine optimum amount of ginger, honey and sugar in fermentation mash for wine making
2. To determine the enological and physiochemical properties of ginger honey wine
3. To evaluate sensory and non sensory characteristics of ginger honey wine

METHODS & MATERIALS

Sample Collection/Preparation

A total of 1 kg of freshly harvested honey was purchased from a local honeybee farmer and ginger was purchased from local market of Hisar, Haryana. Honey and ginger were transported in clean plastic bottles (<4⁰C) and plastic bags, respectively, to the biotechnology laboratory, Govt College, Hisar. Honey and ginger were stored at

refrigerator and room temperature, respectively, prior to preparation and fermentation.

Preparation of Starter Culture for inoculation

From the laboratory repository, pure colonies of *S. cerevisiae* (starter culture) were confirmed in potato dextrose broth (PDB) media. These cultures were then incubated at ambient temperature for one day. The starter cultures were prepared in sterile honey- ginger mixture juices for 5h, standardized, and stored at 4⁰C.

Optimization of TSS and amount of ginger, sugar and honey in the fermentation mash

Preparation of mash

First of all, ginger was taken, washed and its skin was removed by using a knife. Then, ginger was flaked by using iron mortar and pestle. The sugar syrup was prepared with warm water and stained with muslin cloth. The honey was heated a little bit to remove its viscosity. The different fermentation mash was prepared with ginger, honey and sugar at varying concentration as shown in table 1.

TABLE 1: Composition of different mashes

| Mash | Ginger (%) | Honey/ Sugar | Initial TSS (⁰ Brix) |
|------|------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| GH1 | 4 | Honey only | 20 |
| GH2 | 4 | Sugar only | 20 |
| GH3 | 4 | Sugar: Honey | 20 |
| GH4 | 6 | Honey only | 20 |
| GH5 | 6 | Sugar only | 20 |
| GH6 | 6 | Sugar: Honey | 20 |
| GH7 | 8 | Honey only | 20 |
| GH8 | 8 | Sugar only | 20 |
| GH9 | 8 | Sugar: Honey | 20 |
| BS1 | 6 | Sugar only | 15 |
| BS2 | 6 | Sugar only | 18 |
| BS3 | 6 | Sugar only | 22 |
| BS4 | 6 | Sugar only | 24 |
| BS5 | 6 | Sugar only | 26 |

The TSS of these mashes was adjusted with addition of sugar syrup and pH was adjusted to 3.5 by using 5% sodium bicarbonate and citric acid solution with pH meter. All these mashes were pasteurized by heating to 70-72⁰C for 15 minutes and brought it to room temperature by cooling in tap water. Before inoculation, Potassium metabisulfite was added in the mashes so that bacterial contamination can be reduced.

Pitching and agitation

Prepared mash was inoculated with baker's yeast at the rate of 1g/litre and was filled into clean and boiled bottle up to 2/3rd of their capacity. The bottles were then plugged by cotton, agitated and kept for fermentation at room temperature.

Fermentation

The progress of fermentation was monitored by measuring the drop of TSS. After five days of pitching the TSS of fermented mash was measured by hand refractometer everyday till constant TSS was obtained. After 10 days of pitching, the fermentation was assumed to be completed when the TSS cease to drop further.

Determination of the physiochemical properties of ginger honey wine

Physicochemical parameters like acidity, temperature and pH were determined by using the methods of A.O.A.C. (1990). pH was determined with pH portable digital device (Jenway 3510, Camlab, UK). Probe of pH and temperature was dipped into a 50 mL sample for 2 min (for stable reading). Recorded data were means of triplicate values. The alcohol content of wine was estimated as per Caputi *et al.* (1968).

Specific Gravity

Specific gravity (SG) of different wine versions was determined by using hydrometer. 50 mL of the sample was taken into a measuring cylinder at 20⁰C; and a hydrometer was dipped into it to determine the specific gravity with appropriate temperature correction factor. The percentage alcohol content, calories, residual sugar (RS), apparent fermentation degree (AFD), fermentative capacity (VC), fermentation velocity (FV), and attenuation were then calculated based on specific gravity chart (American Society for Brewing Chemists, May and Shape (2004); Delfini and Formica (2001).

$$\text{Percent alcohol by volume} = \% \text{ABV} = \frac{\text{initial SG} - \text{Final SG}}{7.37} \times 1000$$

$$\text{Residual sugar} = \text{brix} = \text{RS} (\%) = 231.3 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\text{Final SG}}\right)$$

$$\text{Apparent attenuation} = \text{App. Attn} (\%) = \frac{\text{initial SG} - \text{Final SG}}{\text{Initial SG} - 1} \times 100$$

$$\text{Apparent fermentation degree} = \text{AFD} (\%) = \frac{\text{initial SG} - \text{Final SG}}{\text{Initial SG}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Fermentative capacity} \approx \text{VC} (\%) = \text{Initial RS} - \text{Final RS}$$

$$\text{Fermentation velocity} = \text{FV} = \frac{\text{Alcoholic content} (\%)}{\text{Initial RS} (\%) - \text{Final RS} (\%)} \times 100 = \frac{\text{Alcoholic content} (\%)}{\text{Fermentative capacity}}$$

Racking, Pasteurization and bottling

The clear wine was siphoned off from the lees in steel pots using sterilized polythene pipes and covered with metal dish and pasteurized by heating to 70°C for 15 minutes and cooled to room temperature (30°C) by cooling in tap water. No chemical was added for the clarification of ginger honey wine. Cold wines were racked and filled into pre-sterilized bottles and kept in room until needed for further analysis.

Sensory Evaluation

A total of 10 assessors of govt college, Hisar was selected to assess the wines using a nine-point hedonic scale. Each evaluator was served with approximately 50 ml of wine sample with 250 mL wine tasting glasses. Results were ranked and expressed in accordance with the sensory vocabulary. The quality of analyzed wine was analyzed by sensory analysis (Smell, taste, mouth feel, color and overall acceptance).

Microbial Analysis

Microflora of different wine versions was determined using PDA for yeast, Nutrient Agar for bacteria and relevant biochemical assays (Cowan and Steel, 2004). 1 ml of wine sample was taken with the help of sterilized pipette and diluted by serial dilution technique. One ml of

the appropriate dilution was transferred with the help of a sterilized pipette in sterilized petriplates in triplicates. About 10–15 ml of the media at 40°C was then poured, and allowed to set at room temperature for an hour. The plates were incubated in a BOD incubator at 30°C for 48 h, in inverted position the colonies were counted for each dilution and plates contains 100-200 colonies were used for enumeration as per standard method.

Statistical analysis

The data was tabulated and subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to factorial completely randomized design. The Critical Difference Value at 5% level was used for making comparisons among different treatments.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The experimental results emanating from the present study are presented in this paper. The study was focused on the objective to explore the production of Zinger honey wine. Production of wine from ginger and honey conducted in the lab in batch reactor set up. Process monitoring and final analysis of wine has been conducted. Table 2 shows the nutritional and physicochemical qualities of raw materials before fermentation to various wine versions.

TABLE 2. Nutritional and physicochemical qualities of honey and ginger (Before fermentation)

| Parameter | Raw Honey | Ginger extract |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Sugar content(g/l) | 68.74 | 1.7 |
| Moisture content (%) | 16.7 | 81.3 |
| Ash (%) | 0.35 | 3.5 |
| Crude Fat content (%) | ND | 0.90 |
| pH | 4.05 | 5.6 |

The ginger honey wine was prepared from the mashes of different composition of zinger and honey with varying concentration of sugar. As the natural sugar content of most of the fruit juices/pulp is lesser than optimum level required for wine making, amelioration of juice with sucrose is a common practice. Shukla and Revis (1985) found that the T.S.S. of musts should be maintained at 23°Brix for preparation of citrus wines. Lingappa and Naik (1997) have reported much lower T.S.S. (18°Brix) for fermentation of carrot juice. In next experiment, prepared mashes were inoculated with baker's yeast at the rate of 1g/litre. An optimum inoculum level in the range of 4-6% for wine production has been reported by Prescott and Dunn (1949). Sterehaina *et al.* (1983) reported decrease in

fermentation time with increase in inoculum level. An inoculum concentration of 10.0% (v/v) has been found to be appropriate by these workers for industrial fermentation which reduced the chance of contamination of fermentation media. pH and acidity of prepared mashes were also maintained because acids are helpful in reducing the contamination of fermentation media with undesirable micro flora. Wine preparation from less acidic fruits juices/pulp need to be acidified before fermentation. Bardiya *et al.* (1974) reported that acceptability of guava wine was more when the pH was adjusted to 3.5 before fermentation. Jackson and Badri (2003) adjusted pH to 3.0-3.5 with citric acid in case of banana wine. Finally these mashes were incubated for 11 days at constant

temperature (22°C) until constant TSS was obtained. Fermentation temperature is one of the most important factors in ethanol production as it exerts a profound effect on the growth and survival of yeast. Temperature has many other effects besides its direct effect on yeast activity and growth. Higher temperature results in loss of alcohol and aromatic constituents and the formation of higher alcohol along other by products (Jarczyk and Wzorek, 1977). Optimum temperature for alcoholic fermentation ranges between 20 and 28°C (Amerine *et al.*, 1972). Time for completion of fermentation varies with fruit to fruit and other fermentation conditions. Under favourable conditions, musts usually complete fermentation within two to three weeks. Kainsa and Gupta (1979) reported that fermentation of ber juice completed in 8 days. After completion of the fermentation the wines were analyzed for chemical properties and sensory/non sensory evaluation. The final TSS of ginger honey wine made from the mashes having different initial TSS.

Determination of the physiochemical properties of ginger honey wine

Table 3 shows the physiochemical properties of ginger honey wine. Acetic acid content, off flavor and haziness in

wines and other beverages can be measured in terms of volatile acidity. It acts as an indicator for sensory and microbial quality of different wines. In the present study, the level of volatile acidity was very low (0.37 to 1.3 g/L) across all the wine versions (Table 3). Volatile acidity of pear-honey, plum-honey, apple-honey and honey wines was recorded to be 0.3, 1.9, 0.5 and 0.25 per cent (v/v) respectively (Joshi *et al.*, 1990a). It indicated the good quality of wine. The Quantity and sugar utilization rate measured by fermentative capacity and apparent fermentation degree, both of these parameters are correlated. Fermentation velocity measures the percentage or rate of sugar conversion to alcohol. It was observed that wine version BS5 had the best rate (0.088). But, these values were significantly low compared to literature data (Cordero *et al.*, 2016). Only two factors, temperature (22°C) and pH (3.5 -4) did not show any major variation among the assessed wines. Similar to this study, most previous studies (May *et al.*, 2004; Umeh *et al.*, 2015; Reddy *et al.*, 2009) on fruits and vegetable wines reported acidic beverages of pH below 6.

TABLE 3: Enological properties of zinger honey wines produced with *S. cerevisiae* for 11 days

| Mashes | Initial specific gravity | Final specific gravity | Alcohol by volume (%) | Apparent attenuation (%) | Calories (per 12oz bottles) | Residual Sugar (g/l) | Fermentative capacity | Fermentation velocity | Volatile acidity g/l | Total titrable acidity g/l |
|--------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| GH1 | 1.0830 | 1.0098 | 11.6 | 88 | 270.8 | 25 | 175 | 0.066 | 1.35 | 16.6 |
| GH2 | 1.0830 | 1.0277 | 10.6 | 66 | 279.4 | 70 | 130 | 0.081 | 0.98 | 12.9 |
| GH3 | 1.0830 | 1.0237 | 11.1 | 71 | 277.5 | 60 | 140 | 0.079 | 1.20 | 14.5 |
| GH4 | 1.0830 | 1.0058 | 13.2 | 94 | 268.9 | 15 | 185 | 0.071 | 1.42 | 16.5 |
| GH5 | 1.0830 | 1.0237 | 11.8 | 66 | 279.4 | 60 | 140 | 0.084 | 1.01 | 13.1 |
| GH6 | 1.0830 | 1.0277 | 11.0 | 66 | 279.4 | 70 | 130 | 0.084 | 1.25 | 14.7 |
| GH7 | 1.0830 | 1.0058 | 11.9 | 94 | 268.9 | 15 | 185 | 0.064 | 1.31 | 16.4 |
| GH8 | 1.0830 | 1.0197 | 12.6 | 76 | 275.6 | 50 | 150 | 0.084 | 0.92 | 13.2 |
| GH9 | 1.0830 | 1.0177 | 11.8 | 78 | 274.6 | 45 | 155 | 0.076 | 1.21 | 14.1 |
| BS1 | 1.0741 | 1.0177 | 10.9 | 76 | 245.2 | 0 | 150 | 0.072 | 0.37 | 12.5 |
| BS2 | 1.0920 | 1.0359 | 10.9 | 60 | 312.8 | 45 | 135 | 0.080 | 0.35 | 12.3 |
| BS3 | 1.1011 | 1.0463 | 10.6 | 52 | 347.6 | 90 | 130 | 0.081 | 0.37 | 12.8 |
| BS4 | 1.1103 | 1.0568 | 11.4 | 47 | 382.0 | 115 | 125 | 0.091 | 0.31 | 11.6 |
| BS5 | 1.0654 | 1.000 | 10.6 | 100 | 0.0 | 140 | 120 | 0.088 | 0.37 | 12.3 |

Percent sugar conversion rate is measured by apparent attenuation. It was highest in blend BS5 (100) and followed by blend GH4 and blend GH1. After fermentation, the final specific gravity was decreased in all fourteen blends of wines. The maximum alcohol content was found in blend GH4 which was then followed by blend GH82 and blend GH7. The range of alcoholic contents observed in this study were within the limits (6-14%) reported in previous study (Kundu *et al.*, 1976; Kim *et al.*, 1987). Titratable acidity is used as a guide to determine how acidic the product will taste. This determination measures the concentration of all available hydrogen ions present in the sample, wine or juice. Normally it ranges from 5g/l to 6.5g/l. in present study, titratable acidity come out in suggested range. Ghadge *et al.* (2006) observed that titratable acidity content of grape wine sample ranged between 0.66-0.71percent. Chowdhury and Ray (2007) prepared wine from jamun and observed that

the titratable acidity increased from 0.51 in must to 1.11 in finished wine.

Clarification of ginger honey wine

After two or three racking over a period of approximately one month, zinger wine became quite clear, there was no sign of turbidity or unwanted suspended particle. Natural clarification was achieved by sedimentation of yeast cells and there were no impurities. Adding bentonite alters the natural color and flavor of wine, so there was no need of adding bentonite in the ginger honey wine.

Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation was done by semi trend panelists including students, teachers and staff of govt college Hisar. The data selected for sensory evaluation was smell, taste, color, mouth feel and overall acceptance. Table 3 shows the sensory data of ginger honey wine prepared from different composition.

TABLE 3: Sensory data (According to 9 point hedonic scale) and non sensory data

| Mashes | Sensory data | | | | |
|--------|--------------|-------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Aroma | Taste | Mouth feel | Color and appearance | Overall acceptability |
| GH1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| GH2 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| GH3 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| GH4 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| GH5 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| GH6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| GH7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| GH8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| GH9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| BS1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| BS2 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| BS3 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| BS4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| BS5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

TABLE 4: Table showing non sensory data

| Mashes | Non Sensory data | | | | | |
|--------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Color | Relative Sweetness | Alcohol content | Effervescence | Acidity/Alkalinity | Calories |
| GH1 | Light Straw | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| GH2 | White | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| GH3 | Pale Yellow | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| GH4 | Straw | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| GH5 | White | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| GH6 | Pale yellow | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| GH7 | Dark straw | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| GH8 | White | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| GH9 | Pale yellow | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| BS1 | Light pink | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| BS2 | Light pink | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| BS3 | Light pink | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| BS4 | Light pink | Sweet | Natural | Still | Acidic | High |
| BS5 | Light pink | Dry | Natural | Still | Acidic | Low |

CONCLUSION

As we know, Excess production of ginger during the winter seasons is wasted due to low market price and storage problems. The present study indicates that the ginger can be converted into value added product through fermentation. Its medicinal properties can be increased by making blend with honey. Honey ginger wine versions have relatively low alcohol content than the commercially available wines, so these wines are not harmful for health and are acceptable for daily usage. In fact, it has many health benefits. These wines are acidic, sweet to dry and low to high alcoholic. This study proves that acceptable wine can be prepared from ginger honey mix. The results of process monitoring and final analysis will help a small scale wine industry or can refer the results to develop a small scale wine industry.

REFERENCES

American Society for Brewing Chemists (ASBC) Methods of Analysis of ABSC, 14th ed.; ASBC: Saint Paul, MN, USA, 2011.

Amerine, M.A., Berg, H.W. and Cruess, W.V. (1972) Technology of wine making. AVI Publ. Co. West Ort., Connecticut. pp: 707-708.

Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) Official Method of Analysis, 16th ed.; Association of Official Analytical Chemists: Arlington, VA, USA, 1999; Volume 11.

Bardiya, M.C., Kundu, B.S. and Tauro, P. (1974) Studies on fruit wines. I. Guava wine. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 3: 140-146.

Bartley, J.P. and Jacobs, A.L. (2000) Effects of Drying on Flavour Compounds in Australian-Grown Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*). *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 2: 209-215.

Blumenthal, M., Goldberg, A., Brinckmann, J. (2000) Ginger root. In Herbal medicine: Expanded commission E monographs. pp. 153–159.

Caputi, A., Ueda, M. and Brown, T. (1968) Spectrophotometric determination of ethanol in wine. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 26: 160.

- Chowdhury, P. and Ray, R.C. (2007) Fermentation of jamun (*Syzygium cumini* L.) Fruits to Form Red Wine. *ASEAN Food Journal*, 14(1): 15-23.
- Connell, D.W. and Sutherland, M.D. (1969) A re-examination of gingerol, shogaol and zingerone: the pungent principles of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe). *Aust. J. Chem.*, 22:1033–1043.
- Cordero-Bueso, G., Esteve-Zarzoso, B., Gil-Díaz, M., García, M., Cabellos, J. M., Arroyo, T. (2016) Improvement of Malvar Wine Quality by Use of Locally-Selected *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Strains. *Fermentation*, 2:1–13.
- Cowan, I., Steel, S.T. (1974) Cowan and Steel's Manual for the identification of medical bacteria. 3rd edn. Cambridge University Press, London.
- Delfini, C., Formica, J.V. Wine Microbiology: Science and Technology (Food Science and Technology); CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2001; p. 496.
- Ghadge, S.A., Kotecha, O.M. and Chavan, J.K. (2006) Studies on preparation of wine from grapes. *Beverage and Food World*, 33(1): 70.
- Govindarajan, V.S. (1982a) Ginger - chemistry, technology, and quality evaluation. Part 1. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 17: 1-96.
- Grampp, E. (1978) Studies on hot clarification (In German). *Fluss. Obst*. 45:336.
- Isabel, C., Carlos, A.B. and Porras, M. (2012) Iso-a-acids, bitterness and loss of beer quality during storage. *Trends Food Sci Technol.*, 20:1-10.
- Jackson, T. and Badri, N. (2003) Utilization of Banana (*Musa acuminata*) Peel in wine produced in the Caribbean: Effects on physico-chemical microbiological and sensory quality of wines. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 40(2): 150-156.
- Jarczyk, A. and Wzorek, W. (1977) Fruit and honey wine. In: Alcoholic beverages (Rose, A.H., Ed.) Academic press, New York. London. pp 387-419.
- Josè M. Alvarez-Suarez, Francesca Giampieri and Maurizio Battino (2013) Current Medicinal Chemistry, 20, Bentham Science Publishers. Honey as a Source of Dietary Antioxidants: Structures, Bioavailability and Evidence of Protective Effects Against Human Chronic Diseases.
- Joshi, V.K., Attri, B.L., Gupta, J.K. and Chopra, S.K. (1990a) Comparative fermentation behaviour, physico-chemical characteristics and quality of various fruit honey wines. *Indian J. Hort.*, 47(1): 49-54.
- Kainsa, R.L. and Gupta, O.P. (1979) Post harvest studies on ber fruits. *Haryana J. Agric. Univ. Res. J.*, 9(3): 260-261.
- Kim, S.H., Moon, D.Y., Kim, D.S. and Kim, Y.B. (1987) Studies on citrus wine making from several varieties of mandarin. Research Reports of the rural development administration. *Horticulture*, 29(2): 13-20.
- Kraus, E.C. Mead (Honey) Wine. Copyright of Home Wine & Beer Making Supplies (2012) Available online: www.eckraus.com (accessed on 27 January 2017).
- Kundu, B.S., Bardiya, M.C. and Tauro, P. (1976) Studies on fruit wines. II. Banana wine. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 5(3 & 4): 140-146.
- Leverington, R.E. (1975) Ginger technology. *Food Technology in Australia*, 27: 309-313.
- Lingappa, K. and Naik, C. (1997) Wine preparation from carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) *Indian Food Packer*. 51(5): 10-13.
- May, D., Sharpe, A. (2004) The Only Wine Book you'll ever need; Adams Media, F + W Publication Company: Cincinnati, OH, USA; p. 275.
- Mundo, M., Padilla- Zakour, O.I., Worobo, R.W. (2004) Growth inhibition of foodborne pathogens and food spoilage organisms by select raw honey. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 97:1-8.
- OIV: Organization of Compendium of International Methods of Wine and Must Analysis, 2012 Edition. Available online: <https://www.scribd.com/document/324259472/Metodos-Analysis-Vol-2-OIV>.
- Prescott, S.C. and Dunn, C.G. (ed.) (1949) Industrial Microbiology 4th ed. Mc Graw Hill Book Col., New York.
- Reddy, L.V., Reddy, O.V.S. (2009) Production, optimization and characterization of wine from Mango (*Mangifera indica* Linn.). *Nat. Prod. Radiance*, 8:426–435.
- Sekiwa, Y., Kubota, K., Kobayashi, A. (2000) Isolation of novel glucosides related to gingerdiol from ginger and their antioxidative activities. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 48:373–377.
- Shukla Y., Singh M. (2007) Cancer preventive properties of ginger. a brief review. *Food Chem Toxicol.*, 45:683–690.
- Shukla, K.G. and Revis, B. (1985) Enological qualities of some orange varieties grown in Garhwal Hills. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 22(1): 72-73.
- Umeh, J.I., Ejikeme, P.C.N., Egbuna, S.O. (2015) Optimization of Process Conditions for Alcoholic Wine Production from Pineapple Using RSM. *Int. J. Multidiscip. Sci. Eng.*, 6:23–30.
- Wei Q.Y., Ma J.P., Cai Y.J., Yang L., Liu Z.L. (2005) Cytotoxic and apoptotic activities of diarylheptanoids and

gingerol-related compounds from the rhizome of Chinese ginger. *J Ethnopharmacol.*, 102:177–184.

Young H.Y., Luo Y.L., Cheng H.Y., Hsieh W.C., Liao J.C., Peng W.H. (2005) Analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities of [6]-gingerol. *J Ethnopharmacol.*, 96:207–210.